

Downtown West Community Meeting #2 Summary of Questions and Comments

This document summarizes the questions and comments received by community members at the virtual Downtown West Community Meeting, hosted by the City of San Jose on October 19, 2020. The meeting included a presentation, followed by group discussions in four breakout rooms to gather feedback and answer questions. This summary is organized as follows:

1. Questions Summary
2. Comments Summary
3. Breakout Group Notes
4. Notes Template

QUESTIONS SUMMARY

This section lists the questions received by the public at the Community Meeting. The questions have been transcribed from verbal discussions, edited for clarity, and grouped by topic.

Land Use, Housing, and Building Design

1. What is the amount and location of affordable housing?
 - a. What percentage of the units will be dedicated to affordable and/or senior housing?
 - b. Can Google staff speak to the thinking of how the plan will accommodate the 25% affordable housing target in the DSAP?
2. There are 4,000 homes in the current proposal, but the Draft EIR for the Downtown West project analyzes up to 5,900 homes. What makes this number go up or down for the final number built?
3. Is Google not building to full capacity of site?
 - a. Where is additional development going?
 - b. Where would extra unbuilt units go?
4. Has COVID impacted the residential program/plan for Downtown West?
5. What housing is planned for A/B/C Parking Lots?
 - a. What are the contingency plans if housing doesn't materialize here?
6. What percent of housing within the project site has been or will be displaced?

7. Where would corporate accommodations be located?
8. Will there be tiny homes, sustainable materials, and other innovative district strategies used for housing?
9. What is the relationship with the San Jose Police Department and the Google Project?
 - a. What kinds of surveillance will be conducted?
 - b. Would private and public spaces have the same kinds of design?
10. Block E-1: Could there be a ground-floor pedestrian passthrough, from residential areas such as the Lakehouse District? What is the design of Building E-1?
11. Will the old San Jose Water Company building be used as an innovation center?
 - a. Are there alternative uses under consideration for the Water Co. Building?
 - b. How will we know if there are organizations interested in using that space?
 - c. Who would be responsible for the programming?
12. Comparing the preliminary application to this updated submittal, the northern most buildings have been changed from housing to office space. What is your thinking behind moving the residential to the south (Orchard Supply area)?
 - a. Why did you not move additional park land to be with the newly moved residential in the south?
13. Google is a company of the 21st century working to advance technology and San Jose. Will you have to demolish some historic resources to meet proposed development?
 - a. What buildings will be demolished?
 - b. Which specific historic buildings will be maintained?
 - c. What of resources in the riparian setback area?
14. Village Oaks gave priority to a sea of cars. What is the anticipated draw for surrounding neighborhoods to come into the area & how does it affect parking?
15. Why don't we have design guidelines/standards elsewhere in the City like those proposed for Downtown West? Can the City transfer guidelines over?

Public Space

16. How much of the open space would be dedicated to City vs. private?
 - a. What is fully public park space vs. semi-private park space?
 - b. What access does the community have to the semi-private park space?

- c. Is this something that can be taken away?
- 17.** What mechanisms in place to make sure these are permanent open spaces?
- 18.** Is the City requiring a park/open space per capita for this project?
- 19.** What is happening with the park planned for the Fire Training Facility?
- 20.** There is a mandatory setback for new buildings from the riparian corridor - is Google counting this setback in their open space calculations?
 - a. Of the 15 acres proposed, how much will be within the mandatory setback from the creek corridor?
 - b. Why are the proposed building setbacks different at different areas (100 ft vs 30 ft.)?
- 21.** Could you provide more clarification on how the new public space is connecting with the existing trails and surrounding public space?
- 22.** Was the habitat plan and wildlife protection taken into consideration?
 - a. How would nature and ecology factor into the trail plans?
 - b. Will nighttime lighting be low voltage and downward facing?
- 23.** What is the specific timeline for when the community would see the public open space plans?
 - a. How will this be phased out and who will be involved?
- 24.** Who/how will the open spaces be maintained?
 - a. Can you elaborate more on the management of the public spaces?
 - b. Why conservancy as a model?
- 25.** During development, vacant land might be easily transformed into a homeless encampment – how will that be prevented?
 - a. How will open spaces be developed or patrolled in a way to keep them safe and clean?

Transportation and Parking

- 26.** Has a traffic impact study been done?
- 27.** The plan includes a lot of office space – how are the workers going to get here without congesting surrounding roads?
- 28.** What intersection improvements are being considered?

- 29.** What is the plan for connecting to the Downtown core?
- 30.** Freeway 87 creates a barrier between the west side and the rest of Downtown. Will this be addressed/revised? If so, what will be done around this feature?
- 31.** How does Google interpret block sizes in terms of walkability?
- 32.** Are specific streets pedestrian only?
- 33.** Is there a plan to have dedicated bike paths throughout the project?
 - a. What percentage of the site is for pedestrian and bike facilities?
- 34.** How will existing properties adapt their sidewalks to the wider standards?
 - a. How will the new sidewalks transition to the narrower older sidewalks?
- 35.** Is there a plan to connect the Google site with Arena Green across West Santa Clara along the Los Gatos Creek trail? What about at San Carlos?
- 36.** How is the connection going to work when the vehicular traffic is surrounding east/west and north/south intersections? San Fernando is east/west. Cahill, Montgomery and Autumn are north/south. They are going right across San Fernando. How is this connection going to work?
- 37.** On San Fernando, is it possible to encourage pedestrians to use the northern side of the sidewalk (because the sidewalk on the south side is narrow)? Are there creative solutions to encourage pedestrian traffic on the north side of the streets?
- 38.** Why does the project propose extending Cahill Street?
- 39.** Was analysis done with the San Jose State University campus?
 - a. How will the project impact students?
 - b. How will traffic flow between the SJSU campus and Diridon area?
 - c. Was there collaboration between the two entities?

Planning Process, Implementation, and Construction

- 40.** Will you publish a project process schedule/timeframe on the website?
- 41.** How do the Diridon Integrated Station Concept Plan process & Downtown West project interact? The design guidelines are predicated on Diridon station in different areas on each document/process.
- 42.** At what point could we see a complete picture of Diridon Station Area with Downtown Plan West and other projects?

- 43.** There is a portion that was originally in the Google footprint in front of the station. The Caltrain and VTA sites that have now been removed. Could you speak to this and how those sites may be planned as part of the DSAP?
- 44.** What are the parts of the project that the City + Google find most exciting and iconic? What would bring folks here?
- 45.** What is the status of the community benefits agreements?
- 46.** When would be the start for ground break and estimated completion?
- 47.** How will you coordinate and phase 10+ years of construction?
- 48.** How will Google interact with surrounding residents, now and in the future?
 - a. Will Google maintain outreach to community?
- 49.** Who will make sure that the proposed Design Standards and Guidelines will be carried forward?
 - a. What governing body will do it?
 - b. How do we make sure the goals set forth today are carried out over the 30-year development?
 - c. With flexible development standards, how will the outcome look as proposed (Village Oaks Shopping center example)?
- 50.** Will there be public art installations and/or other design competitions to vet new art?
- 51.** Does the project include support or resources for schools/students/staff?
- 52.** What approach is Google taking to engage with future retailers and existing local business owners? Chains vs local business?
- 53.** What communication or collaboration has happened with indigenous peoples/tribes?
- 54.** Where does food access come into play with this plan? What is San Jose's plan to accommodate the huge volume of people that is coming in?

COMMENTS SUMMARY

This section lists the comments received by the public at the Community Meeting. The comments have been transcribed from verbal discussions, grouped by topic, and edited for clarity.

Planning Process and Implementation	
What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
Google event at SAP Center – two-way community engagement, multiple times.	Construction impact mitigation
Face-to-face interactions with smaller groups and really listening and including input in project iterations	10+ years of construction needs to be coordinated/phased
Great community process	Would like to see chat/Q&A button in the breakout rooms
Building and Land Use (including Housing and Historic Resources)	
What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
Housing plan is looking good	[Housing plan] still needs more specificity/detail
Building articulation, quality design	Efforts around anti-displacement – ensuring residents can stay
Open campus plan is a great idea. Mixed use and open space and transportation are very welcome to the neighborhood.	Resources to prevent evictions through community benefits agreement
Details about transportation, walking paths, increasing bike paths to connect the area	Preserving naturally occurring affordable housing
Good attention to human scale and user design.	More definition around users for Water Co. Building – think about feasibility.
25% Affordability	Would like to see shade studies
Overall integration, small businesses	Clarity around what “affordability” means, and making sure the affordable units are built
Happy to see historic elements in core area.	Active use hubs seem to be congregating in one portion. Should have some community use for each parcel.
Effort to integrate with surrounding areas, and nature	

Transportation	
What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
Details about transportation, walking paths, increasing bike paths to connect the area	Concern about expanding sidewalk widths and compatibility with older, narrower sidewalks
Emphasis on non-vehicular means (last mile)	[On San Fernando] Concern about having ped traffic walking in front of private homes. Intrusive.
Integration of many transportation systems	Safety - internally + externally (surrounding neighborhoods)
	Emphasis on non-vehicular means (last mile), still needs improvement
	Markings indicating that the lanes are for bikes so that pedestrians do not stand in the way. Renderings were nice but didn't look like I could ride my bike through there without running into people.
Public Space	
What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
Impressed with Google's incorporation of nature + ecology	Reminder that the community has been promised 5 acres of parkland on the sale of the movement of the fire training center. However, this isn't even being replaced by the 4.8 acres. The concern is that credit will be given to build out parks at 4 times the rate of the land which is far too excessive and also having a nexus for all the build-out housing in the area. Somehow these numbers need to change and the City needs to be committed to opening up more land. Concerned that if Google ever broken up then the City would lose this land in the future.
Integration of natural ecology / open space	Agree with comments that we need more publicly owned open space. 4,000 units with an average of 2 people per unit. Under current guidelines that should be 24 acres and currently only showing 15 acres.
	Concern over lighting at night
	30 ft setback vs. 100 ft setback on both sides of creeks. This was alluding to past mistakes.

	This is an opportunity to undo past mistakes. Wherever we remove a building should replace with a 100 ft setback
	Largest parks adjacent to the offices do not serve the residents very well. Employees are in the offices most of the day so this will become underutilized.

BREAKOUT GROUP NOTES

The following pages are the notes taken live and screen shared during the breakout portion of the virtual meeting. It only includes the questions and comments made by community members in attendance, not the responses by City staff or Google representatives. Responses to the questions will be provided in a separate document.

For reference, following the notes section is the document template that staff and consultants used to take notes.

Breakout Group #1:

What Questions do you have about the Downtown West plan?

1. Why don't we have design guidelines/standards elsewhere in the City like those proposed for DW? Can the City transfer guidelines over?
2. What buildings will be demolished? Which specific historic buildings will be maintained? What of resources in the riparian setback area?
3. What is the relationship with SJPD and the Google Project? What kinds of surveillance will be conducted? Would private and public spaces have the same kinds of design?
4. What communication or collaboration has happened with indigenous peoples/tribes?
5. How will Google interact with surrounding residents, now and in the future?
6. What approach is Google taking to engage with future retailers and existing local business owners? Chains vs local business?
7. Will there be public art installations and/or other design competitions to vet new art?
8. Has COVID impacted the residential program/plan for Downtown West?
9. Block E-1. Could there be a ground-floor pedestrian passthrough, from residential areas/lakehouse? What is the design of Building E-1?
10. A/B/C Parking Lots – what housing is planned there? What are the contingency plans if housing doesn't materialize here? 4,000 units?

11. Will there be tiny homes, sustainable materials, and other innovative district strategies used for housing?

Building and Land Use Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Building articulation, quality design	Construction impact mitigation
	10+ years of construction needs to be coordinated/phased

Planning Process Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Housing plan is looking good	Still needs more specificity/detail
	Efforts around anti-displacement – ensuring residents can stay
	Resources to prevent evictions through community benefits agreement
	Preserving naturally occurring affordable housing

Breakout Group #2:

What Questions do you have about the Downtown West plan?

- Would like to see chat/Q&A button in the breakout rooms
- Google is a company of the 21st century working to advance technology and San Jose. Will you have to demolish some historic resources to meet proposed development? Happy to see historic elements in core area
- Transportation. Understand there are plans to create more pedestrian walkways and potentially VTA. The plan is bringing in a lot of office space – how are the workers going to get here without congesting surrounding roads

- Where does food access come into play with this plan? What is San Jose's plan to accommodate the huge volume of people that is coming in?
- Riparian corridor, why are the setbacks different at different areas? 100 ft vs 30 ft
- What is fully park space vs. semi-private park space. What is access does the community have to the semi-private park space. Is this something that can be taken away? Who/how will it be maintained?
- Surrounding housing. Project development site -what percent of housing been displaced?
- What percentage of the units will be dedicated to affordable and/or senior housing?
- Could you provide more clarification on how the new public space is connecting with the existing trails and surrounding public space?
- Can you elaborate more on the management of the public spaces. Why conservancy as a model?
- Provide a current update on community benefits agreements particularly on
- Agree with comments that we need more publicly owned open space. 4,000 units with an average of 2 people per unit. Under current guidelines that should be 24 acres and currently only showing 15 acres
- How much of parkland that is dedicated or privately owned is located in the riparian setbacks?
- 30 ft setback vs. 100 ft setback on both sides of creeks. This was alluding to past mistakes. This is an opportunity to undo past mistakes. Wherever we remove a building should replace with a 100 ft setback
- From preliminary application to this submittal the northern most buildings have been changed from housing to office space. The office space in the south (orchard supply area). What is your thinking behind moving all

residential to the south? What reason did you not move additional park land to be with the newly moved residential in the south? Largest parks adjacent to the offices do not serve the residents very well. Employees are in the offices most of the day so this will become underutilized

- Can Google staff speak to the thinking of how the plan will accommodate the 25% AH target?
- Portion that was originally in the Google footprint in front of the station. There was a number of sites that have been removed. Could you speak to this and how the area may be planned now that it fits into the DSAP. Caltrain and VTA sites
- Specific timeline for when the community would see the public open space plans? Interested in how this will be phased out and who will be involved
- What is the plan for connecting to the downtown core?
- Is there a plan to have a dedicated bike paths throughout the project? Markings indicating that the lanes are for bikes so that crowds do not stand in the way. Renderings were nice but didn't look like I could ride my bike through there without running into people
- How is the connection going to work when the vehicular traffic is surrounding E/W and N/S intersections? San Fernando is east west. Cahill, Montgomery and are north south. They are going right across San Fernando. How is this connection going to work? Why are we expanding Cahill?
- Reminder that the community has been promised 5 acres of parkland on the sale of the movement of the fire training center. However, this isn't even being replaced by the 4.8 acres. The concern is that credit will be given to built out parks at 4 times the rate of the land which is far too excessive and also having a nexus for all the built out housing in the area. Somehow these numbers need to change and the City needs to be committed to opening up more land. Concerned that if Google ever broken up then the city would lose this land in the future

Breakout Group #3:

What Questions do you have about the Downtown West plan?

1. Is Google not building to full capacity of site? Where is additional development going? Where will additional units go?
2. Related to parks and open space – 1) during development, vacant land might be easily transformed into a homeless encampment, how will that be prevented? How will open spaces be developed or patrolled in a way to keep them safe, clean, and so that they do not become homeless encampments?
3. Who will make sure that the design guidelines and standards will be carried forward? What governing body will it? How do we make sure the goals set forward today are carried out over the 30 year development?
4. Will Google maintain outreach to community?
5. Will the old SJ water building be used as an innovation center? How will we know if there are orgs interested in using that space? Who would be responsible for the programming?

Building and Land Use Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
<i>Open campus plan is a great idea. Mixed use and open space and transportation are very welcome to the neighborhood.</i>	More definition around users for Water Co. Building – think about feasibility. Are there alternatives?
Details about transportation, walking paths, increasing bike paths to connect the area	

Transportation (streets, bike paths, traffic, parking) Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
<p>Details about transportation, walking paths, increasing bike paths to connect the area</p>	<p>Concern about expanding sidewalk widths – how will existing properties adapt their sidewalks? How will the new sidewalks transition to the narrower older sidewalks?</p>
	<p>On San Fernando, is it possible to encourage pedestrians to use the North sidewalk? Are there creative solutions to encourage pedestrian traffic on the north side of the streets? Concern about having ped traffic walking in front of private homes. Intrusive.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Complete Streets: width of the sidewalk through zones. Focus on width to support increased pedestrian activity. Will check there is a specific transition between new and existing sidewalks • Where the project does not have frontage, it can be difficult to provide wider sidewalks. Complete Street standards will include sidewalk standards • Existing curb lines will be used and projects will provide specified sidewalk widths • The City will look at a transition, can be challenging to build adjacent areas with infill projects. • With complete streets, sidewalks are provided on both streets wherever possible • Diridon shared use path based on traffic counts – generous walking area and bike facilities
	<p>Has a traffic impact study been done?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Included as part of the EIR as a traffic analysis and local impacts analysis. Transportation network was studied as part of these analyses • Adverse impacts were identified at specific locations. To address those impacts, hardscape improvements are proposed and can be found in the dEIR • VMT is low because located next to transit but there are still local impacts. No CEQA impacts for VMT

	<p>What hardscape/intersection improvements are being considered?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. No adverse impacts were identified at the interchanges so no on/off ramp widening planned
--	--

Public Space (parks, green space, plazas) Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
	<p>Was analysis done with the SJSU campus? How will impact students? How will traffic flow between campuses? Was there collaboration between the two entities?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Diridon to Downtown Report conducted by SJSU • The project has tried to conduct extensive community engagement, including with its neighbors. Students were asked for input. Trying to be as inclusive as possible, including college aged students • No formal analysis with SJSU campus but there has been student engagement. See the project as “hosting” so there is potential to explore this more and for future collaboration.
	<p>Was the habitat plan taken into consideration – wildlife protection? Is the project requiring a park/open space per capita?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. open space distributed across the development • Native habitats and natural resources are analyzed in the EIR in the biological resources chapter – Los Gatos Creek • Project proposes min of 50ft setback from creeks – Downtown Riparian Corridor policy • All residential and office have to average a 100ft setback from the riparian corridor, 1 residential building is building to that 50ft line. Along S Autumn St. development must remain in those existing footprints
	<p>Concern over lighting at night – will it be low voltage and downward facing?</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. There are DWDSG standards for lighting to protect the riparian corridor • Riparian habitat is being expanded by 4.25 acres to result in a net ecological benefit
	A hard copy of the draft EIR is available upon request. Contact Shannon Hill.
	<p>Is there a plan to connect the Google site with Arena Green West Santa Clara along the Los Gatos Creek trail? What about at San Carlos?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Yes, at grade – still working through the details of ped and bike signalized crossing • At San Carlos it is a proposed undercrossing for the trail and pick up on the Google owned property. This project is very much in process but not apart of the Downtown West Project. It is a City project. • Class 4 bike path along Autumn to cross at San Carlos – similar to the San Fernando better bike lane improvements.

Planning Process Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
<p>Google event at SAP Center – two way community engagement, multiple times. Face-to-face interactions with smaller groups and really listening and including input in project iterations</p>	<p>Will you publish a project process schedule/timeframe on the website?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A. Sanjoseca.gov/googleproject, diridonsj.org • Planning Commission and City Council in Spring. Upcoming public dates meetings are also posted
Great community process	

Breakout Group #4:

What Questions do you have about the Downtown West plan?

- Parks + Open Space component: how much dedicated to city vs. private? What mechanisms in place to make sure these are permanent open spaces?
- Inclusionary affordable housing?
- Flexible development standards: how will the outcome look as proposed (Village Oaks Shopping center)?
- At what point could we see a complete picture of Diridon Station Area with Downtown Plan West and other projects?
- Ped./Bike paths: what percentage of acreage are these? How would nature and ecology factor into paths?
- What portion of acreage of open space is the Riparian corridor (where this wouldn't apply)?
- DISC process + Downtown West: How do they interact? The design guidelines are predicated on Diridon station in different areas on each document/process
- Historic Resources: Which properties on HRI does google on (future, current), what is the proposal (future, current), mitigations + impacts on parcel + adjacent parcels
- When would be the start for ground break and estimated completion?
- Support or resources for schools/surrounding schools/student/staff?

- 4000 homes in proposal, but draft EIR has up to 5900. What makes this number go up or down for the final number?
- How the Google interprets block sizes in terms of walkability, and how it's factored in the plan
- Amount / location of Affordable housing: where would corporate accommodations be located?
- Are specific streets pedestrian only?
- What are the parts of the project that the City + Google find most exciting and iconic? What would bring folks here?
- Parking: Village oaks gave priority to a sea of cars. What is the anticipated draw for surrounding neighborhoods to come into the area + how it affects parking?
- There is a mandatory setback for the Riparian corridor. Is google deducting this from the open space. Providing 15 acres, 10.2 for Google. Of the 15 acres, how much will be within the mandatory setback from the creek corridor?
- Freeway 87: It creates a barrier between the West and Downtown. Will this be addressed/ revised? What will be done around this feature?

Building and Land Use Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Good attention to human scale and user design.	Would like to see shade studies
25% Affordability	What exactly "affordability" means, and making sure they are built
Overall integration, small businesses	Active use hubs seem congregating in one portion. Should have some community use for each parcel (Answer: <i>Could be happening throughout the plan</i>)

Transportation (streets, bike paths, traffic, parking) Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Emphasis on non-vehicular means (last mile)	Emphasis on non-vehicular means (last mile), still needs improvement
Integration of many transportation systems	Safety - internally + externally (surrounding neighborhoods)

Public Space (parks, green space, plazas) Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Impressed with Google’s incorporation of nature + ecology	
Integration of natural ecology / open space	

Planning Process Comments

<i>What resonates most with you?</i>	<i>What needs improvement?</i>
Effort to integrate with surrounding areas, and nature	

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Group Discussion Instructions

Basic ground rules:

- Use the RAISE HAND feature if you want to contribute and the facilitator will unmute/lower their hand when its your turn
- Be respectful – personal attacks will not be permitted
- Share the floor – keep questions and comments concise to allow everyone opportunities to share

For your questions:

- Staff and resources in the room may jump in to help answer if they can
- We will also provide answers to questions we receive tonight in the meeting summary we will post online after the meeting
- Answers will be brief to make room for community participation

Next Steps:

- Visit <https://www.diridonsj.org/fall2020-google/#Share-Voice> to submit questions and comments
- See www.diridonsj.org/fall2020 for additional community engagement events and public meetings occurring this fall

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

What Questions do you have about the Downtown West plan?

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Transportation (streets, bike paths, traffic, parking)

What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
	•
	•
	•

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Public Space (parks, green space, plazas)

What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
<i>Type the notes here. To add more cells, right click and select "Insert Row Below"</i>	•
	•
	•
	•

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Infrastructure, District Utilities, Green Design

What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
<i>No comments.</i>	

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Historic Context

What resonates most with you?	What needs improvement?
<i>No comments.</i>	

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Additional Comments

- Type the notes here. Each question will populate in 3 columns.

Group #3: Downtown West Community Meeting

Next Steps and Resources:

- Visit <https://www.diridonsj.org/fall2020-google/#Share-Voice> to submit questions and comments
- See www.diridonsj.org/fall2020 for additional community engagement events and public meetings occurring this fall
- Email shannon.hill@sanjoseca.gov for Draft Environmental Impact Report comments

Project information: www.sanjoseca.gov/googleproject

For your convenience, the project team has listed key City Staff contacts for the project sorted by specialty.

Specialty	Name	Email
General Project	James Han	james.han@sanjoseca.gov
Environmental Review	Shannon Hill	shannon.hill@sanjoseca.gov
Utilities/Infrastructure	Vivian Tom	vivian.tom@sanjoseca.gov
Transportation Analysis	Manjit Banwait	manjit.banwait@sanjoseca.gov
Open Space/Parks	Larissa Sanderfer	larissa.sanderfer@sanjoseca.gov
DOT Roadway Analysis	Florin Lapustea	florin.lapustea@sanjoseca.gov
Transportation Planning	Eric Eidlin	eric.eidlin@sanjoseca.gov
Community Engagement	Lori Severino	lori.severino@sanjoseca.gov
Media inquiries	Cheryl Wessling	cheryl.wessling@sanjoseca.gov